

Second-hand tobacco exposure: parental knowledge about health impact and feasibility of cessation

Hina Walia MBBS, Rebecca Miller BS, Dmitry Tumin PhD, Joseph D. Tobias MD, Roby Sebastian MD. Department of Anesthesiology & Pain Medicine, Nationwide Children's Hospital and The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio Department of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery, Nationwide Children's Hospital and The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

Background

- One in three children in the United States lives with a tobacco smoker.
- As the primary source of smoke exposure is in the home, the smoking behaviors of parents and other caregivers are key determinants of a child's exposure to second-hand smoke.
- The detrimental impact of second-hand smoke on children has been well established.
- The perioperative period offers an opportunity to discuss smoking cessation strategies and how risk factors in the home can be mitigated to improve a child's health.

Methods

- This prospective study was IRB approved and verbal consent was obtained.
- A survey was presented to the parents and care givers in dental operating rooms during the preoperative phase.
- The primary aim was to determine the feasibility of using the preoperative encounter to offer smoking cessation resources to parents.
- The secondary aim was to compare willingness to receive smoking cessation resources according to knowledge of the risks of smoking (i.e., being aware of second-hand smoking and knowing that it posed a risk to their child).

Results

- Awareness of risks due to second-hand smoking was 65% in the overall cohort and 58% among current smokers (p=0.284 vs. non-smokers).
- Among smokers in our study, only 12% were interested in smoking cessation help.
- Knowledge of the risks of second-hand smoke was not associated with a willingness to receive smoking cessation resources.

Table 1: Characteristics and smoking knowledge of smokers according to whether they know the risks of secondhand smoke (N-43)

	Knowledge of risks of	No knowledge of risks of	
	secondhand smoke (N=25)	secondhand smoke (N=18)	
	N (%) or mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	1
Variables			P value
Willingness to receive smoking cessation help	5 (20%)	0 (0%)	0.064
Has been offered help to quit smoking	18 (72%)	12 (67%)	0.747
Age	33 (8)	29 (5)	0.115
Male	9 (36%)	9(50%)	0.532
Race			0.223
Hispanic	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	
Non-Hispanic White	20 (80%)	10 (56%)	
Non-Hispanic Black	4 (16%)	5 (28%)	1
Non-Hispanic Other	1 (4%)	2 (11%)	1
Education			>0.999
High school or less	12 (48%)	9 (50%)	1
Some college or associate's degree	9 (36%)	7 (39%)	
Bachelor's degree or higher	4 (16%)	2 (11%)	1
Child has asthma, chronic breathing conditions, or ear infections	10 (40%)	7 (39%)	>0.999
Number of people who smoke in child's home	1 (1)	1 (1)	0.352





Discussion

- In the current study, only 58% of smokers agreed that secondhand smoke could be harmful, much lower than a national study which found that 90% of smokers recognize that environmental tobacco smoke could be harmful.
- Although some of this difference may be caused by subjects being less familiar with the term second-hand smoke, these results suggest that outpatient surgery centers such as our dental center may present an opportunity to educate parents about the adverse effects of smoking.
- Even among smokers who were aware of the harm of secondhand smoke, only 20% expressed a willingness to receive smoking cessation resources.
- The low percentage of smokers willing to receive smoking cessation resources suggests that knowledge is not sufficient to motivate these parents to quit smoking.
- As anesthesiologists, this setting could present a great opportunity to educate caregivers on the acute and long term effects of smoking.
- This study suggests there is a need for better methods of educating caregivers on the potential side effects of secondhand smoke and of emphasizing the issue of cessation.

References:

1. Aligne AC. Association of pediatric dental caries with passive smoking. JAMA 2003;289:1258-64.

2. Dillman DA, Smyth JD. Design effects in the transition to Web-based surveys. Am J Prev Med 2007;32:90-6.

