

Peripheral Intravenous Extravasation in Perioperative Pediatric Patients: A retrospective review

Joesph McSoley, MD¹, Rajeev Subramanyam, MD¹, Darcy Doellman, RN², Sylvia Rineair, RN², Marc Mecoli, MD¹

¹Department of Anesthesia, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center; ²Vascular Access Team, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center



Introduction

- Obtaining and maintaining intravenous access is of paramount importance during the perioperative period
- Peripheral IntraVenous Extravasation (PIVE) is the unintended leakage of intravenous fluid or medication into the tissue surrounding the site of infusion and can be associated with significant tissue injury (1)
- Incidence of PIVE has been reported to occur in 0.1% to 6.5% hospitalized patients (1) and may be higher due to insufficient documentation and under-reporting
- There is paucity of data for PIVE in the perioperative setting
- Determining the incidence of PIVE in the operating room and the characteristics of these patients are important first steps in reducing extravasation injury in pediatric surgical patients

Methods

- IRB approval was obtained for the retrospective review all peripheral venous extravasations (PIVE) in the OR and PACU from 1/1/15-1/1/17
- Extravasation was defined as swelling greater than 30% of the effected limb using CCHMC extravasation assessment tool (Figure 1)
- All reported extravasations are documented in EMR and evaluated by CCHMC vascular access team per hospital policy
- Extravasation (swelling > 30%) event led to assessment of the following patient characteristics:
 - Age of patient
 - ASA status
 - Location of PIV catheter
 - PIV catheter size
 - Number of attempts at cannulation
 - Utilization of ultrasound guidance for catheter placement
 - Size and grade of extravasation
- Severe Harm** from extravasation was defined as absence of distal pulses, limb ischemia and/or injury leading to fasciotomy

Results

- 60,678 peripheral venous catheters were in place in the OR during the study period
- n=45 cases of documented extravasation were identified
- Overall incidence of 0.7%
- Ultrasound had been utilized in 45% of cases (n=21)
- More than one attempt for catheter placement was required in 35% of cases (n=16)
- Moderate** extravasation (swelling 30-59%) was noted in 76% of cases (n=34) and **severe** extravasation (swelling 60-100%) was noted in 24% of cases (n=11)
- No occurrences of **severe harm** were identified in any of the cases

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients with documented extravasation in the OR

| Age of Patient | Number (%) of patients |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Neonate (36-44 weeks GA) | 1 (2%) |
| Infant (1-12 months) | 7 (16%) |
| Child (1-12 years) | 20 (44%) |
| Adolescent (12-18 years) | 12 (27%) |
| Adult (greater than 18 years) | 5 (11%) |
| ASA Status | |
| 1 | 2 (4%) |
| 2 | 9 (20%) |
| 3 | 31 (69%) |
| 4 | 3 (7%) |
| Location of PIV catheter | |
| Forearm | 23 (51%) |
| Antecubital | 11 (24%) |
| Hand | 7 (16%) |
| Saphenous | 4 (9%) |
| PIV catheter size | |
| 16 gauge | 1 (2%) |
| 18 gauge | 2 (4%) |
| 20 gauge | 10 (22%) |
| 22 gauge | 28 (62%) |
| 24 gauge | 4 (9%) |

Figure 1. CCHMC Extravasation assessment tool

STEP 1: Assess Extravasation Volume

STEP 1a: Measure Swelling X

STEP 1b: Measure ARM Length Y

Y = Axilla to tip of longest finger

- For Y measure arm length regardless of site of extravasation.
- NEVER measure leg or other body part.
- For patients with casts or limb deficiency, consult vascular access team.
- Arm length Y is just a convenient way to consistently estimate the patient's size. For never measure the leg or other body part.

STEP 1c: Calculate

$$\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right) \cdot 100 = \boxed{} \%$$

Notes:

- Define edges of swelling by palpation/visual observation.
- Measure longest dimension.

Discussion

- Extravasation of intravenous medication can be a medical emergency with permanent consequences if not recognized and treated in an appropriate manner (1)
- The overall incidence of PIVE in perioperative surgical patients was low and most extravasations were of moderate size
- Assessment of PIV sites can be challenging in pediatric surgical patients due to limited access and a desire to avoid disrupting surgical care
- We suggest careful and regular assessment of peripheral venous catheters to identify extravasation before it leads to permanent sequelae
- Future study is needed to determine specific risk factors for extravasation in this population

Reference

- Paquette V, et al. Can J Hosp Pharm 2001;64(5):340-345